

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,050		10/05/2001	Mark L. Waechter	213828022US1	3857
25096	7590	04/06/2005		EXAMINER	
PERKINS	COIE LL	.P	MCALLISTER, STEVEN B		
PATENT-SI P.O. BOX 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247				3627	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/972,050	WAECHTER, MARK L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steven B. McAllister	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-19 and 21-30 is/a. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	re withdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
	••	·					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-932) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/04/10/03_10/02. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Application/Control Number: 09/972,050

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayes et al (5,687,830).

Hayes et al show receving at least one coin signature signal representing a characteristic of a coin; and automatically adjusting a quiescent state of the measurement signal to maintain at least one baseline value at a constant level over an operating temperature (see e.g., col. 5, lines 36-53).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayes et al in view of Hutchinson et al (6,398,001).

Hayes et al show all elements of claim 1 except that the signals represent size and composition. Hutchinson et al show this. It would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 09/972,050

Art Unit: 3627

ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Hayes by providing for detecting size and composition in order to facilitate easy discrimination of coins.

As to claim 2, Hayes et al in view of Hutchinson et al show low frequency and high frequency signals representing at least one physical characteristic.

As to claims 3 and 4, Hayes et al in view of Hutchinson et al inherently show the frequency control signal in that it shows an oscillator maintaining a frequency.

Alternatively, Hayes et al in view of Hutchinson et al show all elements except a frequency control signal. However, it is notoriously old and well known in the art to provide such a signal. It would have been obvious to modify the apparatus of Hayes by providing such a signal in order to maintain the frequency.

As to claims 5 and 6, Hayes et al in view of Hutchinson et al show detecting an out of range value of the frequency signal and providing the claimed feedback.

Alternatively, Hayes et al in view of Hutchinson et al show all elements except the particular feedback mode. However, to adjust the output voltage as claimed is notoriously old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to do so in order to provide accurate output.

As to claim 7, Hayes et al in view of Hutchinson et al show all elements except the particular monitoring interval. However, it is notoriously old and well known in the art to monitor at any convenient interval, including 200 milliseconds. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do so in order to ensure that the system calibration is constantly updated.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,050

Art Unit: 3627

As to claim 8, Hayes et al in view of Hutchinson et al show all elements except the particular signal voltage. However, it is notoriously old and well known in the art to provide a signal at any convenient voltage, including 4.5 volts. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do so in order to use a voltage that is easily compatible with integrated circuits.

As to claim 9, Hayes et al in view of Hutchinson et al show all elements.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven B. McAllister whose telephone number is (703) 308-7052. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,050 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FLBmallst Steven B. McAllister

STEVE B. MCALLISTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER